REMARKS

Entry of these amendments prior to examination on the merits is respectfully requested. Applicant has carefully considered the Final Action dated January 22, 2007. Claims 28-48 are pending. Applicant has cancelled Claims 1-27 in this Response. Applicant has added new Claims 28-48 in this Response. The above amendments and the following remarks are presented in a sincere attempt to place this Application in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance in light of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Claims 26 and 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Patterson and Hennessey [Computer Architecture: A Quantitative Approach] ("Patterson"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections. Nevertheless, Applicant notes that Claims 26 and 27 have been cancelled. Accordingly, these rejections are now moot.

Claims 1-8, 14-16, 21-22, and 26-27 stand rejected under U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Patterson and U.S. Patent No. 6,993,630 by Williams et al. ("Williams"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections. Nevertheless, Applicant notes that Claims 1-8, 14-16, 21-22, and 26-27 have been cancelled. Accordingly, these rejections are now moot.

Applicant has added new Claims 28-48 in this Response. Applicant respectfully submits that new Claims 28-48 are allowable over Patterson, Williams and the remaining art of record alone or in any combination. In particular, Applicant notes that Claim 28 recites, in relevant part, "a plurality of processing elements coupled to the memory, wherein each of the processing elements uniquely couples in a point-to-point connection to a different one of the plurality of MDMs" and "wherein the memory is configured to transmit a data response to each of the processing elements in response to a data request, the data response comprising the identified data item and its associated

directory information, independent of whether the associated directory information indicates an access mode compatible with the requested access mode."

Further, Applicant notes that Claims 39 and 44 recite, in relevant part, "transmitting, through a point-to-point connection to a dedicated buffer of the memory, a data request to the memory;" and "transmitting to the processing element a data response, wherein the data response comprises the requested data item and its associated directory information, independent of whether the associated directory information indicates an access mode compatible with the requested access mode."

That is, Applicant respectfully submits that neither Patterson, Williams, nor any of the remaining references of record teach, disclose, or even suggest a point-to-point connection between the processing unit and a dedicated buffer (or MDM) of the memory. Neither does Patterson, nor Williams, nor any of the remaining references of record teach, disclose, or even suggest transmitting the requested data item along with its associated directory information, independent of whether the requested access mode is compatible with the directory item's current access mode. That is, in the systems and methods embodied in the unique combinations recited in the Claims, the memory sends the requested data item to the requesting processing element, with its directory information, even when that directory information indicates that the data item is unsuitable for processing in its current coherence state. Nowhere do any of the cited references even suggest this unique and advantageous approach.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that new Claims 28-48 are clearly patentable over Patterson, Williams, and the remaining art of record, alone or in any combination. As such, Applicants respectfully request full allowance of Claims 28-48.

PATENT APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 10/809,579

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. AUS920031084US1 (IBM 2871000)

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this Application in condition for

allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully

requests full allowance of Claims 28-48.

Applicant hereby requests continued examination and hereby authorizes the Commissioner

to charge the required fees to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 of IBM Corporation. Applicant does

not believe that any other fees are due; however, in the event that any other fees are due, the

Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any required fees due (other than issue fees), and to

credit any overpayment made, in connection with the filing of this paper to Deposit Account No.

09-0447 of IBM Corporation.

Should the Examiner deem that any further amendment is desirable to place this

application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at

the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

CARR LLP

Dated: <u>April 23, 2007</u>

CARR LLP 670 Founders Square

900 Jackson Street Dallas, Texas 75202 Telephone: (214) 760-3030

Fax: (214) 760-3003

/Gregory W. Carr/ Gregory W. Carr Reg. No. 31,093

- 26 -